(PC) Bardo v. Subia, et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ROBERT J. BARDO,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2: 09-cv-1645 FCD KJN P
12	vs.	
13	SUBIA, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15	/	
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel with a civil rights action	
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 8, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion for mandatory	
18	disclosure pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26. On November 10, 2010, defendants	
19	filed an opposition to this motion. Defendants correctly observe that under Federal Rule of Civil	
20	Procedure 26(a)(1)(B)(iv), an action brought without an attorney by a person in custody is	
21	exempt from the initial disclosure requirements of Rule 26.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for mandatory	
23	disclosure (Dkt. No. 38) is denied.	
24	DATED: November 18, 2010	Ferdal P. Newman
25		KENDALL J. NEWMAN
26	bar1645.ord	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Doc. 40