(HC) Mendo	za v. Cate
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ARQUIMEDES MENDOZA,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-1710 MCE DAD P
12	VS.
13	MATTHEW CATE,
14	Respondent. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
15	
16	Petitioner, currently a federal prisoner, is proceeding pro se with an application for
17	writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner challenges a judgement of
18	conviction entered against him in 2004 in the San Joaquin County Superior Court. On November
19	3, 2010, respondent filed a motion to dismiss the petition as untimely under the applicable one-
20	year statute of limitations. Petitioner has not filed an opposition to the motion. Local Rule
21	230(l) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a
22	statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the
23	motion"
24	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner show cause in
25	writing, within twenty-one days, why respondent's November 3, 2010 motion to dismiss should
26	

Doc. 15

not be granted. Petitioner's failure to respond to this order will result in the imposition of sanctions, including the possible dismissal of this habeas action. DATED: December 13, 2010. Dale A. Dugal UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAD:4 mend1710.osc