1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CARLOS RUBIO; MARTA RUBIO, 2:09-cv-01743-GEB-EFB 12 Plaintiffs, STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) ORDER 13 v. WAUSAU MORTGAGE CORPORATION; 14 GREENPOINT MORTGAGE, INC.; BROOKSAMERICA MORTGAGE CORPORATION;) 15 MORTGAGE-IT, INC.; AURORA LOAN SERVICES; INDYMAC FEDERAL BANK; 16 WELLS FARGO BANK, FSB; WASHINGTON MUTUAL, 17 Defendants. 1 18 The status (pretrial scheduling) conference scheduled for 19 September 21, 2009, is vacated since the parties indicate in the Joint 20 Status Report filed on September 4, 2009, that the following Order 21 should issue. 22 DISMISSAL OF DOE DEFENDANTS 23 Since Plaintiff has not justified Doe defendants remaining 24 in this action, Does 1 through 50 are dismissed. See Order Setting 25 Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference filed June 24, 2009, at 2 n.2 26 27 The caption has been amended according to the <a href="Dismissa">Dismissa</a>l of Doe 28 Defendants portion of this Order.

(indicating that if justification for "Doe" defendant allegations not provided Doe defendants would be dismissed).

## SERVICE, JOINDER OF ADDITIONAL PARTIES, AMENDMENT

No further service, joinder of parties or amendments to pleadings is permitted, except with leave of Court, good cause having been shown.

## DISCOVERY

All discovery shall be completed by October 20, 2010. In this context, "completed" means that all discovery shall have been conducted so that all depositions have been taken and any disputes relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate orders, if necessary, and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has been complied with or, alternatively, the time allowed for such compliance shall have expired.<sup>2</sup>

Each party shall comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(c)(i)'s initial expert witness disclosure requirements on or before May 20, 2010, and with any contradictory and/or rebuttal expert disclosure authorized under Rule 26(a)(2)(c)(ii) on or before June 21, 2010.

## MOTION HEARING SCHEDULE

The last hearing date for motions shall be December 20, 2010, at  $9\!:\!00$  a.m.  $^3$ 

The Magistrate Judges in the Eastern District are responsible for resolving discovery disputes. <u>See</u> Local Rule 72-302(c)(1). A party conducting discovery near the discovery "completion" date risks losing the opportunity to have a judge resolve a discovery dispute concerning that discovery.

This time deadline does not apply to motions for continuances, temporary restraining orders, emergency applications, or motions under (continued...)

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

<sup>3</sup>(...continued)

Motions shall be filed in accordance with Local Rule 78-230(b). Opposition papers shall be filed in accordance with Local Rule 78-230(c). Failure to comply with this local rule may be deemed consent to the motion and the Court may dispose of the motion summarily. Brydges v. Lewis, 18 F.3d 651, 652-53 (9th Cir. 1994). Further, failure to timely oppose a summary judgment motion may result in the granting of that motion if the movant shifts the burden to the nonmovant to demonstrate a genuine issue of material fact remains for trial. Cf. Marshall v. Gates, 44 F.3d 722 (9th Cir. 1995).

Absent highly unusual circumstances, reconsideration of a motion is appropriate only where:

- (1)The Court is presented with newly discovered evidence that could not reasonably have been discovered prior to the filing of the party's motion or opposition papers;
- (2) The Court committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust; or
- There is an intervening change in controlling law. A motion for reconsideration based on newly discovered evidence shall set forth, in detail, the reason why said evidence could not reasonably have been discovered prior to the filing of the party's motion or opposition papers. Motions for reconsideration shall comply with Local Rule 78-230(k) in all other respects.

The parties are cautioned that an untimely motion characterized as a motion in limine may be summarily denied. A motion in limine addresses the admissibility of evidence.

## FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Rule 16(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The final pretrial conference is set for February 14, 2011, at 11:00 a.m. The parties are cautioned that the lead attorney who WILL TRY THE CASE for each party shall attend the final pretrial conference. In addition, all persons representing themselves and appearing in propria persona must attend the pretrial conference.

The parties are warned that <u>non-trial worthy issues could be</u> <u>eliminated sua sponte</u> "[i]f the pretrial conference discloses that no material facts are in dispute and that the undisputed facts entitle one of the parties to judgment as a matter of law." <u>Portsmouth Square</u> v. S'holders Protective Comm., 770 F.2d 866, 869 (9th Cir. 1985).

than seven (7) calendar days prior to the final pretrial conference.<sup>4</sup> The joint pretrial statement shall specify the issues for trial and shall estimate the length of the trial.<sup>5</sup> The Court uses the parties' joint pretrial statement to prepare its final pretrial order and could issue the final pretrial order without holding the scheduled final pretrial conference. See Mizwicki v. Helwig, 196 F.3d 828, 833 (7th Cir. 1999) ("There is no requirement that the court hold a pretrial conference."). The final pretrial order supersedes the pleadings and controls the facts and issues which may be presented at trial. Issues asserted in pleadings which are not preserved for trial in the final

The failure of one or more of the parties to participate in the preparation of any joint document required to be filed in this case does not excuse the other parties from their obligation to timely file the document in accordance with this Order. In the event a party fails to participate as ordered, the party or parties timely submitting the document shall include a declaration explaining why they were unable to obtain the cooperation of the other party.

<sup>5</sup> The joint pretrial statement shall also state how much time each party desires for voir dire, opening statements, and closing arguments.

pretrial order cannot be raised at trial. Hotel Emp., et al. Health 2 Tr. v. Elks Lodge 1450, 827 F.2d 1324, 1329 (9th Cir. 1987) ("Issues 3 not preserved in the pretrial order are eliminated from the action."); <u>Valley Ranch Dev. Co. v. F.D.I.C.</u>, 960 F.2d 550, 554 (5th Cir. 1992) 4 5 (indicating that an issue omitted from the pretrial order is waived, even if it appeared in the pleading); cf. Raney v. Dist. of Columbia, 6 7 892 F. Supp. 283 (D.D.C. 1995) (refusing to modify the pretrial order 8 to allow assertion of a previously-pled statute of limitations 9 defense); Olympia Co. v. Celotex Corp., 597 F. Supp. 285, 289 (E.D. 10 La. 1984) (indicating that "[a]ny factual contention, legal 11 contention, any claim for relief or defense in whole or in part, or affirmative matter not set forth in [the pretrial statement] shall be 12 deemed . . . withdrawn, notwithstanding the contentions of any 13 pleadings or other papers previously filed [in the action]"). 14 If possible, at the time of filing the joint pretrial 15 statement counsel shall also email it in a format compatible with 16 WordPerfect to: geborders@caed.uscourts.gov. 17 18 TRIAL SETTING 19 Trial shall commence at 9:00 a.m. on May 17, 2011.

Dated: September 15, 2009

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. / United States District Judge

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28