I

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	ANDREW RICK LOPEZ,		
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-1760 MCE GGH P		
12	VS.		
13	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,		
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>		
15	/		
16	By order filed on July 2, 2010, plaintiff was granted an extension of time of		
17	twenty-eight days to file an amended complaint. On July 9, 2010, in a note directed to the court		
18	clerk, plaintiff enclosed the form the clerk's office had apparently sent to him, returning his		
19	amended complaint because plaintiff had failed to provide a case number. In the note, plaintiff		
20	indicates that, on July 1, 2010, he separately returned the amended complaint to the court, after		
21	he had applied the case number to it. However, although plaintiff's apparently subsequently		
22	mailed note with the clerk's notice has been filed, there is no indication in the docket of the		
23	return of the amended complaint. Plaintiff is cautioned that he has only until July 30, 2010, to		
24	file an amended complaint. As plaintiff was previously informed, there will be no further		
25	extension of time.		
26			
	1		

1

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2	DATED: July 19, 2010	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
3		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
4	GGH:009 lope1760.ord	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21 22		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
		2