Johnson v. Alexander et al Doc. 21

1

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 SCOTT N. JOHNSON, 2:09-cv-01763-GEB-DAD 10 Plaintiff, ORDER RE: SETTLEMENT 11 AND DISPOSITION ROBERT M. ALEXANDER, individually 12 and d/b/a Robert M. Alexander, D.D.S., MS; David W. Wistrom, 13 individually and d/b/a David W. 14 Wistrom, D.D.S.; FLG Holdings, LLC, a California limited 15 liability company; ANDREW M. HARRAGON, individually and as Trustee of the Harragon Family 16 Trust created November 8, 1999; JOAN E. HARRAGON, indvidually and 17 as Trustee of the Harragon Family 18 Trust Created November 8, 1989, 19 Defendants. 20

On November 16, 2009, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Settlement in which Plaintiff states "the parties have settled this action." Therefore, a dispositional document shall be filed no later than December 7, 2009. Failure to respond by this deadline may be construed as consent to dismissal of this action without prejudice, and a dismissal order could be filed. See L.R. 16-160(b) ("A failure to file dispositional papers on the date prescribed by the Court may be grounds for sanctions.").

The status conference scheduled for November 30, 2009 is continued to commence at 9:00 a.m. on January 19, 2010, in the event no dispositional document is filed, or if this action is not otherwise dismissed. Further, a joint status report shall be filed fourteen days prior to the status conference.¹

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 16, 2009

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JB. United States District Judge

The status conference will remain on calendar, because the mere representation that an action has been settled does not justify discontinuance of calendering a scheduling proceeding. $\underline{\text{Cf.}}$ $\underline{\text{Callie v. Near}}$, 829 F.2d 888, 890 (9th Cir. 1987) (indicating that a representation that claims have been settled does not necessarily establish the existence of a binding settlement agreement).