

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PHILLIP V. LIGGINS,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-1777 GEB EFB P

vs.

MIKE MCDONALD,

Respondent.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 1, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 1, 2011, are adopted in  
3 full;

4 2. Petitioner's January 3, 2011 motion for stay and abeyance is denied as moot;  
5 and

6 3. Petitioner's May 31, 2011 motion to amend is denied as futile.

7 Dated: September 21, 2011

8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26