1	SOMACH, SIMMONS & DUNN STHART L. SOMACH (State Por No. 000050)				
2	STUART L. SOMACH (State Bar No. 090959; ssomach@somachlaw.com)				
3	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814				
4	Telephone (916) 446-7979; Facsimile (916) 446-8199 Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
5	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO and SACRAMENTO COUNTY WATER AGENCY				
6	RICHARD C. COFFIN (State Bar No. 70562;				
7	rcc@bcltlaw.com) BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP				
8	350 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1435	50			
9	Telephone (415) 228-5400; Facsimile (415) 228-5450 Attorneys for Defendants THE BOEING COMPANY and MCDONNELL DOUGLAS CORPORATION				
10					
11	LAWRENCE A. HOBEL, (State Bar No. 73364; lhobel@cov.com)				
12	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One Front Street San Francisco, California 94111-53536 Telephone (415) 591-7028; Facsimile (415) 955-6528				
13					
14		20			
15	BRIAN SWEENEY, (State Bar No. 195999, brian.sweeney@aerojet.com)				
16	AEROJET-GENERAL CORPORATION P.O. Box 13222, Dept. 0106				
17	Sacramento, California 95813-6000 Telephone (916) 351-8588; Facsimile (916) 351-8610				
18	Attorneys for Defendants AEROJET-GENERAL CORPORATION				
19	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT			
20	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
21	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; SACRAMENTO COUNTY WATER AGENCY,	Case No. 2:09-CV-01814-MCE-EFB			
22	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION FOR FURTHER			
23	v.	STAY OF ACTION; ORDER			
24	AEROJET-GENERAL CORPORATION; THE	Date: No Hearing Requested Time: N/A			
25	BOEING COMPANY; McDONNELL DOUGLAS CORPORATION	Courtroom: 7			
26	Defendants.				
27	2010111111				
28					

WHEREAS, under this Court's Stipulated Order of November 13, 2009, the
Parties are required to file a Joint Status Report and Rule 26(b) Discovery Plan in the above
captioned matter by June 30, 2010;

WHEREAS, under the Court's Stipulated Order of November 13, 2009, Defendants have until June 30, 2010, to file a response to the First Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, the Parties continue to engage in meaningful settlement discussions, and believe that a further stay of the litigation until November 30, 2010 is in the best interest of all Parties, will promote judicial efficiency, and will reduce litigation costs because it will allow the Parties to focus on such discussions;

WHEREAS, for these reasons, the Parties jointly seek this Court's Order to stay the litigation until November 30, 2010, and to establish new dates for the filing of the Joint Status Report and for Defendants' response to the First Amended Complaint;

THEREFORE:

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the Plaintiffs and Defendants that

- Subject only to paragraph 4 below, the action be stayed until November 30,
 2010, including all obligations pursuant to Rule 26, and any obligation to respond to the First
 Amended Complaint.
- 2. Subject only to paragraph 4 below, the Joint Status Report is due on December 28, 2010.
- 3. Subject only to paragraph 4 below, Defendants shall have until January 10,2011, to respond to the First Amended Complaint.
- 4. If any party determines that the settlement discussions are not sufficiently productive, the party may file and serve a request that the stay be lifted, and the stay shall be lifted sixty (60) days after the Court issues an Order lifting the stay. If the stay is lifted prior to November 30, 2010, the Court will set the last day for Defendants to file their responsive pleadings, and the last day for the parties to file their Joint Status Report, for a day no less than thirty (30) days after the stay is lifted.

28 ///

A Professional Corporation	1	DATED: May 21, 2010	BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP	
	2			
	3	E	By: /s/ Richard C. Coffin Richard C. Coffin	
	4	т	Attorneys for Defendant THE BOEING COMPANY and	
	5	N	MCDONNELL DOUGLAS CORPORATION	
	6	DATED: May 21, 2010	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	
	7	DATED: May 21, 2010	COVINGTON & BURLING LLF	
	8	Е	By: /s/ Lawrence A. Hobel Lawrence A. Hobel	
	9	A	Attorneys for Defendant	
	10	A	AEROJET-GENERAL CORPORATION	
	11	DATED: May 21, 2010 S	OMACH, SIMMONS & DUNN	
	12			
	13	E	By: /s/ Stuart L. Somach Stuart L. Somach	
	14	C	Attorneys for Plaintiffs COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO and	
	15	S	SACRAMENTO COUNTY WATER AGENCY	
	16			
	17	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21	DATED: May 26, 2010	lan ASS	
	22	MOF	RRISON C. ENGLAND, JR	
	23		TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			