1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	JOSEPH LEE,	)
9 10	Plaintiff,	) ) 2:09-cv-01854-GEB-KJM
11	v. Metropolitan life insurance	) <u>ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR</u> ) <u>ORDER SHORTENING TIME</u>
12	COMPANY, a New York Corporation,	)
13	Defendant.	)
14		1
15	On February 12, 2010, Plaintiff's attorney and Plaintiff	
16	filed a "Stipulation To Order Shortening Time" ("Stipulation") on t	
17	hearing of Plaintiff's attorney's motion for an order authorizing b	

the nis 18 withdrawal as counsel for Plaintiff. The Stipulation reveals that the 19 motion "includes a request to continue the trial and extend the time for hearing on Summary Judgment Motions." Plaintiff, however, has not 20 21 shown that Defendant should not be provided an opportunity to respond 22 to the portion of the motion seeking to continue scheduled dates. Local Rule 144(e) states "Ex parte applications to shorten time will 23 24 not be granted except upon affidavit of counsel showing a satisfactory 25 explanation for the need for the issuance of such an order and for the 26 failure of counsel to obtain a stipulation for the issuance of such an 27 order from other counsel or parties in the action." Plaintiff does 28

1

1 not show that he attempted to obtain a stipulation from Defendant
2 allowing a hearing to be scheduled on shortened time.

Further, Plaintiff's attorney's motion to withdrawal as counsel does not state the current or last known address of counsel's client as required by Local Rule 182(d). This rule requires this information when counsel seeks to withdraw as counsel and leave a client in propria persona.

8 Therefore, the motion for shortening time for hearing on the 9 motion is denied.

10 Dated: February 16, 2010

GARLAND E. BURRE JR. L, United States District Judge

11

12