proper forum in which to review such a claim is the district of confinement. See Dunn v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989)(stating, in a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 action, that "[t]he proper forum to 2 3 challenge the execution of a sentence is the district where the prisoner is confined.") In this case, petitioner is challenging a conviction from Nevada County, which is in the 4 5 Sacramento Division of the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the matter should be addressed in the forum where petitioner was convicted. Thus, the petition should have been filed in the 6 7 Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Pursuant to Local Rule 3-120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper division 8 9 of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. 10 Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division of this Court. 11 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 12 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of 13 California sitting in Sacramento; and, 14 2. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be filed at: 15 16 **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 17 Sacramento, CA 95814 18 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 **Dated:** <u>July 2, 2009</u> /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28