

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD MARTIN,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-1915 KJM P

vs.

MIKE KNOWLES, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

_____/

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has paid the filing fee. Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondents will be directed to file a response to petitioner’s habeas petition.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Respondents are directed to file a response to petitioner’s habeas petition within sixty days from the date of this order. See Rule 4, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. An answer shall be accompanied by all transcripts and other documents relevant to the issues presented in the petition. See Rule 5, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases;

2. If the response to the habeas petition is an answer, petitioner’s reply, if any, shall be filed and served within thirty days after service of the answer;

1 3. If the response to the habeas petition is a motion, petitioner's opposition or
2 statement of non-opposition to the motion shall be filed and served within thirty days after
3 service of the motion, and respondents' reply, if any, shall be filed and served within fifteen days
4 thereafter; and

5 4. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order, **the**
6 **consent/reassignment form contemplated by Appendix A(k) to the Local Rules of this**
7 **court**, and a copy of the petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 on
8 Michael Patrick Farrell, Senior Assistant Attorney General.

9 DATED: January 15, 2010.

10 
11 _____
12 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 1
14 mart2899.100(7.21.09)