



1 Instead he simply requests to reopen his case, which was dismissed nearly eleven years ago for  
2 failure to state a claim and timely amend his complaint, without providing reasons why the court  
3 should grant his motion. In effect Mr. Pollard seeks to circumvent the statute of limitations for  
4 his § 1983 claims, which have been barred for well over a decade. *See Usher v. City of Los*  
5 *Angeles*, 828 F.2d 556, 561 (9th Cir. 1987) (statute of limitations for a § 1983 claim is that of a  
6 personal injury claim in the state in which it arises).


7 The motion to reopen this case is denied.

8 This order resolves ECF No. 73.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 DATED: April 26, 2021.

11

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE