```
1
 2
 3
 4
5
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6
7
8
   SHRAVAN KUMAR DEBBAD,
9
                                              2:09-cv-01998-GEB-DAD
                    Plaintiff,
10
                                              ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S
              v.
                                              MOTION TO DISMISS'
11
   JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, formerly
    doing business as Washington
12
   Mutual Bank; QUALITY LOAN SERVICE
   CORPORATION,
13
                    Defendants.
14
```

Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("JPMorgan") filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Plaintiff has not filed an opposition to the motion or a non-opposition statement as required by Local Rule 230(c). This failure to comply with Local Rule 230(c) is tantamount to filing a non-opposition statement.

Plaintiff alleges the following three claims in his complaint concerning the foreclosure of his property located at 7911 Hazel Avenue, Orangevale, Sacramento County, California, 95662: (1) to set aside trustee's sale; (2) to cancel trustee's sale; (3) to quiet title. Plaintiff alleges he was never served with the Notice of

 $^{^{\}ast}$ This matter is deemed to be suitable for decision without oral argument. E.D. Cal. R. 230(g).

Default and that the Notice of Trustee's Sale was sent to a

neighboring property. (Compl. ¶¶ 6,11.)

3 4

2

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

JPMorgan argues Plaintiff's first and second claims should be dismissed because Plaintiff has "failed to allege or make actual tender," (Mot. 5:3-4) citing Abdallah v. United Sav. Bank, 43 Cal. App. 4th 1101, 1109 (1996), and other authority for the proposition that a defaulted borrower is "required to allege tender of the amount of [the lender's] secured indebtedness in order to maintain any cause of action for irregularity in the sale procedure." Since Plaintiff's complaint fails to contain this allegation and Plaintiff has not shown the allegation is unnecessary to plead these claims, Plaintiff's first and second claims are dismissed.

JPMorgan contends Plaintiff's third claim to quiet title should be dismissed, arguing "Plaintiff has not provided a scintilla of factual support for his claim." (Mot. 10:17-18.) California Code of Civil Procedure section 760.020 contains the elements required to be alleged to state a quiet title claim. Since Plaintiff's "conclusory statements" and "legal conclusions" in this claim fail to allege all the pleading elements in section 760.020, this claim is dismissed. Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949-50 (2009).

Plaintiff, however, is granted leave to amend any claim that has been dismissed. Any amended pleading shall be filed within fourteen (14) days of the date on which this order is filed.

Dated: March 26, 2010

GARLAND E. BURREIL, JR. United States District Judge