

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DENA CRISOTOMO CARINO; ALLAN  
CRISTOPHER DIWA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STANDARD PACIFIC CORP;  
STANDARD PACIFIC MORTGAGE,  
INC. fka FAMILY HOME LENDING,  
INC.; CHASE HOME FINANCE LLC;  
HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL  
SERVICES LLC; MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION  
SYSTEMS, INC., HSBC BANK USA, as  
Trustee of J.P.Morgan Alternative Loan  
Trust 2007-A-1 Mortgage Pass Through  
Certificates without recourse; all persons  
currently unknown claiming any legal or  
equitable right, title, estate, lien or interest  
in the property described in this complaint  
as the Trust Property adverse to that  
claimed by Plaintiffs, and DOES ONE  
through ONE HUNDRED, inclusive,

Defendants.

No. 2:09-cv-02005-KJM-AC

ORDER

In June 2014, the court dismissed all remaining defendants in this case except  
Homecomings Services, LLC (“Homecomings”), which at the time was subject to an automatic

1 bankruptcy stay. ECF Nos. 24, 57–59. The court required the parties to file a joint status report  
2 after resolution of Homecoming’s bankruptcy. ECF No. 59.

3 Pursuant the court’s order, Homecomings filed a notice in February 2016 of the  
4 bankruptcy court’s order requiring plaintiffs to “take all appropriate actions to dismiss their  
5 monetary claims against [Homecomings] with prejudice.” Notice at 2, ECF No. 60; *id.* Ex. A  
6 (Bankruptcy Order). The bankruptcy court required plaintiffs to act within fourteen days of that  
7 order filed on February 11, 2016. Bankruptcy Order at 2.

8 In light of Homecoming’s notice, the court orders Plaintiffs to Show Cause why:  
9 (1) the stay in this case as to Homecomings should not be lifted; (2) why the court should not  
10 issue sanctions, including monetary sanctions for \$250 as well as dismissal, for plaintiffs’ failure  
11 to follow this court’s order requiring a joint status report and plaintiffs’ failure to prosecute their  
12 case. Plaintiffs shall file their response to this order within fourteen (14) days.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 DATED: June 20, 2017

15  
16   
17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28