1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOSEPH JOHNSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-2103 JAM JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	G. BISHOP, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On May 3, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
21	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has filed
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
25	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
26	proper analysis.
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 3, 2011, are adopted in full;
3	2. Defendants' October 27, 2010 motion for summary judgment is granted;
4	3. Defendants' October 8, 2010 motion for summary judgment is denied as moot;
5	and
6	4. This action is closed.
7	DATED: June 30, 2011
8	
9	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	2
	I