Doc. 7

payments of twenty percent of the preceding month's income credited to plaintiff's prison trust account. These payments will be forwarded by the appropriate agency to the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in plaintiff's account exceeds \$10.00, until the filing fee is paid in full. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

The Civil Rights Act under which this action was filed provides as follows:

Every person who, under color of [state law] . . . subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States . . . to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution . . . shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.

42 U.S.C. § 1983. The statute requires that there be an actual connection or link between the actions of the defendants and the deprivation alleged to have been suffered by plaintiff. See Monell v. Department of Social Servs., 436 U.S. 658 (1978); Rizzo v. Goode, 423 U.S. 362 (1976). "A person 'subjects' another to the deprivation of a constitutional right, within the meaning of § 1983, if he does an affirmative act, participates in another's affirmative acts or omits to perform an act which he is legally required to do that causes the deprivation of which complaint is made." Johnson v. Duffy, 588 F.2d 740, 743 (9th Cir. 1978).

Moreover, supervisory personnel are generally not liable under § 1983 for the actions of their employees under a theory of <u>respondent superior</u> and, therefore, when a named defendant holds a supervisorial position, the causal link between him and the claimed constitutional violation must be specifically alleged. <u>See Fayle v. Stapley</u>, 607 F.2d 858, 862 (9th Cir. 1979); <u>Mosher v. Saalfeld</u>, 589 F.2d 438, 441 (9th Cir. 1978), <u>cert. denied</u>, 442 U.S. 941 (1979). Vague and conclusory allegations concerning the involvement of official personnel in civil rights violations are not sufficient. <u>See Ivey v. Board of Regents</u>, 673 F.2d 266, 268 (9th Cir. 1982).

Although plaintiff names Warden M. Martel as a defendant herein, the complaint contains no charging allegations as to defendant Warden. Accordingly, the court will not order service of process on this defendant.

As to the remaining defendants, the complaint states a cognizable claim for relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). If the allegations of the complaint are proven, plaintiff has a reasonable opportunity to prevail on the merits of this action.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted.
- 2. Plaintiff is obligated to pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00 for this action. Plaintiff is assessed an initial partial filing fee in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). All fees shall be collected and paid in accordance with this court's order to the Director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation filed concurrently
- 3. Service is appropriate for the following defendants: G. Bishop and R.M.
- 4. The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff two USM-285 forms, one summons, an instruction sheet and a copy of the complaint filed July 30, 2009.
- 5. Within thirty days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the attached Notice of Submission of Documents and submit the following documents to the court:
 - a. The completed Notice of Submission of Documents;
 - c. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant listed in number 3
 - d. Three copies of the endorsed complaint filed July 30, 2009.
- 6. Plaintiff need not attempt service on defendants and need not request waiver of service. Upon receipt of the above-described documents, the court will direct the United States
- ///// 26

Marshal to serve the above-named defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 without payment of costs. DATED: August 18, 2009. /001; john2031.1

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8		IN THE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	JOSEPH JOHNSON,		
11		Plaintiff,	No. 2:09-cv-2103 JFM (PC)
12	VS.		
13	M. MARTEL,	et al.,	NOTICE OF SUBMISSION
13	,	,	
14	,	Defendants.	OF DOCUMENTS
14 15		Defendants.	
14 15 16	order filed	Defendants.	<u>OF DOCUMENTS</u>
14		Defendants. Plaintiff hereby su	<u>OF DOCUMENTS</u>
14 15 16 17		Defendants. Plaintiff hereby su::	OF DOCUMENTS / abmits the following documents in compliance with the court's
14 15 16 17		Defendants. Plaintiff hereby su::::::	OF DOCUMENTS / abmits the following documents in compliance with the court's mpleted summons form mpleted USM-285 forms
14 15 16 17 18		Defendants. Plaintiff hereby su::::::	OF DOCUMENTS / ubmits the following documents in compliance with the court's mpleted summons form mpleted USM-285 forms
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	order filed	Defendants. Plaintiff hereby su::::::	OF DOCUMENTS / abmits the following documents in compliance with the court's mpleted summons form mpleted USM-285 forms
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	order filed	Defendants. Plaintiff hereby su::::::	OF DOCUMENTS / abmits the following documents in compliance with the court's mpleted summons form mpleted USM-285 forms pies of the Complaint
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	order filed	Defendants. Plaintiff hereby su::::::	OF DOCUMENTS / abmits the following documents in compliance with the court's mpleted summons form mpleted USM-285 forms
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	order filed	Defendants. Plaintiff hereby su::::::	OF DOCUMENTS / abmits the following documents in compliance with the court's mpleted summons form mpleted USM-285 forms pies of the Complaint

I