1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GREGORY C. BONTEMPS,	No. 2:09-cv-2115-MCE-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	SOTAK, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. He requests that the court appoint counsel. As plaintiff was previously informed,	
19	see ECF No. 35, district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in	
20	section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In	
21	exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to represent such a	
22	plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991);	
23	Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether	
24	"exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider the likelihood of success on the merits	
25	as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the	
26	legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Having considered	
27	those factors, the court finds there are no exceptional circumstances in this case.	
28	/////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREB	Y ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of
2	counsel (ECF No. 93) is denied.	
3	DATED: March 10, 2015.	Alming F. Biemm
4		EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDDE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
	1	$\angle$