| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | |
| 11 | ALVIN SPADE, No. CIV S-09-2124-CMK-P |
| 12 | Petitioner, |
| 13 | vs. <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | JOHN W. HAVILAND, |
| 15 | Respondent. |
| 16 | / |
| 17 | Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of |
| 18 | habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner seeks the appointment of counsel (Docs. |
| 19 | 12, 16, 18). |
| 20 | There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas |
| 21 | proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. |
| 22 | § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice |
| 23 | so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does |
| 24 | not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present |
| 25 | time. |
| 26 | /// |
| | 1 |
| | |

| 1 |
|----|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motions for

appointment of counsel (Docs. 12, 16, 18) are denied without prejudice to renewal, at the earliest, after an answer to the petitioner has been filed.

DATED: January 20, 2010

CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE