

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JEREMY GILMORE, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

No. CIV S-09-02180 JAM DAD

12 v.

13 UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD  
14 COMPANY, et al.,

ORDER

15 Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

16 This case came before the court on December 3, 2010, for hearing on plaintiffs'  
17 motion for leave to propound more than the 25 interrogatories authorized under Rule 33(a)(1) of  
18 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Larry Lockshin, Esq. appeared for plaintiffs. Naisha  
19 Covarrubias, Esq. appeared for defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company.

20 The parties' Joint Discovery Stipulation Regarding Additional Interrogatories  
21 (Doc. No. 120) was considered, along with the parties' arguments at the hearing.

22 For the reasons set forth in great detail on the record, IT IS ORDERED that  
23 plaintiffs' motion for leave to propound more than 25 interrogatories (Doc. No. 90) is denied.

24 DATED: December 3, 2010.

25  
26 DAD:kw  
Ddad1\orders.civil\gilmore2180.oah.120310

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE