1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 MARGARET FULLERTON HENDERSON SPENCER STUART ROMANOV, 10 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2194 JAM GGH PS 11 VS. 12 13 THE GETTY & TRUST, 14 Defendants. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 15 16 The above-captioned case, in which plaintiff is proceeding in pro se, was referred 17 to the undersigned under Local Rule 72-302(c)(21), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On 18 August 10, 2009, plaintiff filed a document in this case styled "Notice to Adverse Parties of 19 Filing of Notice of Removal." Jurisdiction, plaintiff asserts, is premised on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446. 20 21 Section 1441(a) of Title 28 provides that "any civil action brought in a State court 22 of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the 23 defendant or defendants, to the district court of the United States for the district and division 24 embracing the place where such action is pending" (emphasis added). Additionally, Section 25 1446 of Title 28 provides that "[a] defendant or defendants desiring to remove any civil action or criminal prosecution from a State court shall file in the district court of the United States for the 26

district and division within which such action is pending a notice of removal" (emphasis added). 1 2 This matter was improvidently removed by plaintiff, and as such, the court recommends that it be 3 remanded to the state court in which it was initiated. 4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that: 5 1. The above-captioned case be remanded to the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Sacramento; and, 6 7 2. The Clerk be directed to close this case. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 8 9 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within ten (10) 10 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written 11 objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time 12 13 may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 14 15 **DATED:** August 25, 2009 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows 16 GREGORY G. HOLLOWS 17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE GGH:076/Henderson2194.rem.wpd 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26