(HC) Parvin	v. Cate
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	JOHN ALAN PARVIN,
10	Petitioner, No. 2:09-cv-2198-JFM (HC)
11	VS.
12	MATTHEW CATE,
13	Respondent. ORDER
14	/
15	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas
16	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This matter is proceeding before a United States Magistrate
17	Judge with the consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
18	On November 23, 2009, respondent filed a motion for a thirty day extension of
19	time to file and serve a reply brief in support of their motion to dismiss. On December 2, 2009,
20	petitioner filed a motion to strike respondent's motion for extension of time as untimely.
21	Petitioner's contention that the motion for extension of time is untimely is without merit. <u>See</u>
22	Fed. R. Civ. P. 6.
23	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
24	1. Petitioner's December 2, 2009 motion to strike is denied;
25	2. Respondent's November 23, 2009 motion for an extension of time is granted;
26	and
	1

Doc. 16

1	3. Respondent's reply brief shall be filed and served on or before December 24,
2	2009. No further extensions will be granted.
3	DATED: December 8, 2009.
4	12 5 22 5
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	UNITÉED STATÉS MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	12
8	parv2198.ext
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	