1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	00000
11	
12	DERALD PEAY and DEBRA PEAY, NO. CIV. 2:09-2228 WBS KJM
13	Plaintiffs,
14	v. <u>ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE</u>
15	MIDLAND MORTGAGE COMPANY; MORTGAGE PROCESS CENTER;
16	
17	
18	ASSOCIATION; T.D. SERVICES, INC.; RON ALLEN AND
19	ASSOCIATES; RONNIE D. ALLEN; MARISSA BACO and DOES 1-20,
20	inclusive,
21	Defendants. /
22	
23	00000
24	On February 3, 2010, the court issued an Order to Show
25	Cause (Docket No. 50) why counsel for plaintiff Sharon L. Lapin
26	should not be sanctioned \$200 for failing to timely file an
27	opposition or notice of non-opposition to defendant Midland
28	Mortgage Company and U.S. Bank National Association's Motion to
	1

Dismiss (Docket No. 43) in violation of Local Rule 230. After reviewing Lapin's Declaration in response to the Order to Show Cause, the court on February 16, 2010, issued an Order requiring Lapin to, within ten days, either pay sanctions in the amount of \$200 or request the matter be scheduled for a formal hearing. (Docket No. 55.)

7 Lapin failed to comply with the court's Order and continues to violate it to this day. Rather, in what appears to 8 the court to be an attempt to evade paying the sanctions ordered, 9 Lapin filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of the case on 10 February 26, 2010. (Docket No. 57.) Local Rule 110 provides 11 that "Failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules 12 or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by 13 the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule 14 or within the inherent power of the Court." As several other 15 judges in this district have noted, Lapin has repeatedly failed 16 17 to comply with the Local Rules and has failed to comply with court orders.¹ 18

20 ¹<u>See, e.g.</u>, <u>Cruz v. Aurora Loan Servs. et al.</u>, No. 2:09-3212, Memorandum and Order (E.D. Cal. Feb. 17, 2010 (Docket No. 21 26)) (England, J.) (ordering Lapin to personally appear for a hearing on an Order to Show Cause why she should not be sanctioned for repeated failure of the Local Rules); see also Mensah v. GMAC Mortgage et al., No. 2:09-3196, Order (E.D. Cal. Feb. 23, 2010 (Docket No. 41)) (Karlton, J.) (dismissing 22 23 plaintiff's complaint with prejudice, fining Ms. Lapin \$150, 24 issuing an Order to Show Cause why a further fine of \$500 should not issue, and referring Ms. Lapin to the Disciplinary Committee 25 of the State Bar of California for failing to file a timely opposition or notice of non-opposition to a motion to dismiss and 26 for further violating the court's order that she so file either an opposition or notice of non-opposition by an extended 27 deadline). At the February 25, 2010 hearing, Judge England ordered Lapin to pay past-due and new sanctions totaling \$500, 28 which Lapin paid before she the left the courthouse that day.

19

1	SHARON L. LAPIN IS HEREBY ORDERED to show cause, on or
2	before March 15, 2010, why further sanctions in the amount of
3	\$500 should not now be imposed for her failure to obey the
4	court's Order of February 16, 2010.
5	SHARON L. LAPIN IS FURTHER ORDERED to personally appear
6	before this Court at 3:00 p.m. on Monday, March 22, 2010, in
7	Courtroom 5 for hearing on the Order to Show Cause.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	DATED: March 4, 2010
10	divis at press
11	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	<u>Cruz</u> , No. 2:09-3212. (Docket No. 30.)