1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA RUSSELL BERNARDINI, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2239 KJM P 12 VS. 13 CASTENON, et al., ORDER AND 14 Defendants. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By an order filed August 25, 2009, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma 16 17 pauperis affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days and was cautioned that 18 failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day 19 period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a 20 district judge to this case. 21 22 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 23 prejudice. 24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 25 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twentyone days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 26

objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: December 17, 2009. /bern2239.fifp