

1 Jeff D. Friedman (173886)
 Shana E. Scarlett (217895)
 2 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
 3 Berkeley, CA 94710
 Telephone: (510) 725-3000
 4 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001
 jefff@hbsslaw.com
 5 shanas@hbsslaw.com

6 Steve W. Berman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
 7 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300
 Seattle, WA 98101
 8 Telephone: (206) 623-7292
 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
 9 steve@hbsslaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 [*Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page*]

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

15 CHRISTINA MITCHELL, DAWN WRIGHT)	No. 2:09-CV-02241-GGH (TEMP)
16 AND JERRY WRIGHT, Individually and on)	
17 behalf of all others similarly situated,)	STIPULATION AND ORDER
Plaintiffs,)	EXTENDING TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS'
)	MOTION FOR CLASS
18 v.)	CERTIFICATION AND OTHER CASE
)	DEADLINES
19 SKYLINE HOMES, INC.,)	
20 Defendant.)	
21 _____)	ACTION FILED: June 29, 2009

22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed the complaint in this action on June 29, 2009;

2 WHEREAS on June 4, 2010, Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint;

3 WHEREAS on September 24, 2010, Judge Mueller granted in part and denied in part
4 the motion to dismiss;

5 WHEREAS on October 12, 2010, Skyline Homes, Inc. (“Skyline”) filed its answer to
6 the Second Amended Complaint, and on December 3, 2010, filed its amended answer to the
7 Second Amended Complaint;

8 WHEREAS on October 13, 2010, the parties held a status conference before Judge
9 Mueller, wherein the Defendant represented that it would have completed its production of
10 documents in response to Plaintiffs’ requests by November 19, 2010;

11 WHEREAS in an order dated October 14, 2010, Judge Mueller ordered that discovery
12 pertaining to class issues shall be completed by May 4, 2011, and any motion for class
13 certification shall be filed no later than July 13, 2011;

14 WHEREAS Plaintiffs agreed to the class certification deadlines based on Defendant’s
15 representation that it would have completed its production of documents by November 19,
16 2010, but after discussions between the parties and further motion practice, Defendant is still
17 currently producing documents responsive to Plaintiffs’ requests for production of documents;

18 WHEREAS the parties continue to cooperate in the production of discovery, including
19 Defendant’s responses to multiple sets of requests for production of documents, special
20 interrogatories, requests for admission and depositions of Defendant’s employees pursuant to
21 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6);

22 WHEREAS Plaintiffs have served subpoenas on three third-parties, including the
23 manufacturer of the siding involved in the design defect alleged in this class action, and are still
24 negotiating the production of documents from these third-parties;

