1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10) Case No. 2:09-cv-02242-FCD-EFB
11	KASRA KHADIVI DIMBALI, an individual,) ORDER RE STIPULATION TO
12	Plaintiff,) <u>EXTEND CONOCOPHILLIPS</u>) <u>COMPANY'S TIME TO RESPOND TO</u>
13) <u>COMPANY STIME TO RESPOND TO</u>) <u>THE COMPLAINT</u>
14	VS.) REMOVAL FILED: 8/11/09) RESPONSE DATE: 8/18/09
15	CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Texas corporation and DOES 1 through 10, Inclusive,) NEW RESPONSE: 11/16/09
16	Defendant.)
17		<i>)</i>
18		
19	FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, THE COURT ORDERS that ConocoPhillips Company's	
20	("COP") time to respond to Plaintiff's complaint is extended 90 days so that the Judicial Panel	
21	on Multidistrict Litigation can rule on COP's request that this action be consolidated into MDL	
22	No. 2040 as a "Tag-Along Action" pursuant to Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, Rule	
23	7.4, and Plaintiff can file its motion for remand. Should the Panel not rule on COP's request	
24	within 90 days, COP shall report further to the Court so that it may revisit whether a further stay	
25	would be appropriate.	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

1	Nothing herein shall prevent Plaintiff's ability to seek a temporary restraining order or
2	preliminary injunction against COP, during the 90 day period, before COP has responded to the
3	complaint.
4	Dated: August 18, 2009
5	And C mm
6	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 2 -ORDER RE STIPULATION TO EXTEND COP'S TIME TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT