1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10) Case No. 2:09-CV-02242-FCD-EFB
11	KASRA KHADIVI DIMBALI, an individual,) ORDER RE CASE STATUS UPDATE
12	Plaintiff,) AND STIPULATION TO EXTEND CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY'S
13	vs. CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Texas corporation and DOES 1 through 10, Inclusive,	TIME TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT)
14		
15		
1617	Defendant.)))
18	FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, THE COURT ORDERS that ConocoPhillips Company's	
19	("COP") time to respond to Plaintiff's complaint is extended 90 days so that Plaintiff can file and	
20	the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation can rule on a motion to vacate the Conditional	
21	Transfer Order transferring this case to the Northern District of California. Should the Judicial	
22	Panel not rule on COP's request within 90 days, COP shall report further to the Court so that it	
23	may revisit whether a further extension would be appropriate.	
24	Nothing herein shall prevent Plaintiff's ability to seek a temporary restraining order or	
25	preliminary injunction against COP before COP has responded to the complaint, or file a motion	
26	to remand to state court.	
27	Dated: November 3, 2009	/ Mars C Chmm
28		FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE