I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	EDWARD HERNANDEZ,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-2264 MCE GGH P
12	VS.
13	J. HARTLEY,
14	Respondent. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed September 15, 2009, petitioner's application was dismissed and
17	thirty days' leave to file an amended application was granted. Plaintiff filed a motion for
18	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order, which was denied by Judge Morrison England,
19	Jr., on October 22, 2009. To date, petitioner has not filed an amended application.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed.
21	See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
23	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty
24	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written
25	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
26	Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the
	1

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: February 8, 2010
4	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	GGH:035 hern2264.fta
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I