Randhawa v	v. Skylux, Inc., et al.				
1	Gregg A. Rapoport (SBN 136941) BUSINESS LEGAL PARTNERS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LAW CORP.				
2	135 W. Green Street, Suite 100 Pasadena, CA 91105				
3	Direct Tel. 626-585-0155 Fax. 626-578-1827 grapoport@bizlegalpartners.com				
4					
5	Attorney for Defendants SKYLUX INC., INTERACTIVE INTELLIGENCE, INC., and MUJEEB PUZHAKKARAILLATH				
6					
7					
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SACRAMENTO DIVISION				
10					
	MOHIT RANDHAWA aka HARPAL	Case No.: 2:09-CV-02304-WBS-DAD			
12	SINGH, and SHANNON CALLNET PVT LTD,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO			
14		FILE RESPONSES TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT			
15	V.				
16	SKYLUX INC., INTERACTIVE				
17	INTELLIGENCE, INC., MUJEEB				
18	PUZHAKKARAILLATH, SKYLUX TELELINK PVT LTD; and DOES 1				
19	through				
	20, inclusive.				
20	Defendants.				
21					
22	WHEREAS:				
23	1. Plaintiffs Mohit Randhawa and Shannon Callnet Pvt Ltd.				
24	("Plaintiffs") filed a Second Amended Complaint on January 6, 2010,				
25	following orders by the Court on November 3, 2009 (Docket No. 27),				
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO FILE RESPONSES TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT				
	-1- Davis		a. 1 - 2		
		Docke	ets.Justia.com		

1		on December 2, 2009 (Docket No. 36), and on December 21, 2009			
2		(Docket No. 37);			
3	2.	In the Second Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs have added a new			
4		Defendant, SKYLUX TELELINK PVT LTD. ("STPL").			
5	3.	STPL is represented in this action by Gregg A. Rapoport, who is			
6		counsel of record for Defendants SKYLUX INC. ("Skylux") and			
7		MUJEEB PUZHAKKARAILLATH ("Puzhakkaraillath"), as well as			
8		co-counsel for Defendant INTERACTIVE INTELLIGENCE, INC.			
9	4.	Mr. Rapoport has agreed to accept service and acknowledge receipt of			
10		the Summons and Second Amended Complaint on behalf of STPL,			
11		when provided by Plaintiffs.			
12	5.	The current deadline for Defendants Skylux and Puzhakkaraillath to			
13		respond to the Second Amended Complaint is January 20, 2010 (14			
13		days after its filing, pursuant to Rule 15(a), Fed. R. Civ. P.			
	6.	Defendants' counsel have requested a seven (7) day extension of time			
15		within which to file responses to the Second Amended Complaint,			
16		and anticipate that responses by all Defendants will be filed at that			
17		time. This request is made in part due to Mr. Rapoport's required			
18		jury service during the week of January 18 th .			
19	7.	Plaintiffs' counsel has agreed to this request.			
20	///				
21	///				
22	///				
23					
24					
25					
		FIAN AND IDDADAGEDI ADDED TA EVTEND DEEEND ANTES DE ADI INE TA ET E			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO FILE RESPONSES TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT				

1	NOW THEREFORE, the parties, through their counsel of record herein,				
2	now STIPULATE AND AGREE to the following:				
3	The time within which Defendants shall respond to the Second Amended				
4	Complaint shall be extended by seven (7) calendar days.				
5					
6	DATED: January, 2010	BUSINESS LEGAL PARTNERS			
7					
8		/s/ GREGG A. RAPOPORT			
9		Attorneys for Defendants			
10		INTERACTIVE INTELLIGENCE, INC SKYLUX, INC., MUJEEB			
		PUZHAKKARAILLATH and SKYLUX			
11		TELELINK PVT LTD			
12	DATED: January 14, 2010	OVERHAUSER & LINDMAN, LLC			
13					
14		/s/			
15		CONSTANCE LINDMAN Attorneys for Defendant			
16		INTERACTIVE INTELLIGENCE, INC			
17	DATED: January 13, 2010	THE LAW OFFICE OF JAY IAN			
18	DATED. January 13, 2010	ABOUDI			
19		/s/			
20		JAY ABOUDI			
21		Attorney for Plaintiffs MOHIT RANDHAWA aka HARPAL			
22		SINGH and SHANNON CALLNET PVT			
23		LTD			
24					
25					
23					
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO FILE RESPONSES TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT				
		-3-			
		-c			

ORDER

Based on the stipulation entered by the parties, IT IS ORDERED THAT The time within which Defendants shall respond to the Second Amended Complaint shall be extended by seven (7) calendar days.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 14, 2010

Va Shabe

WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO FILE RESPONSES TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT