

1 Gregg A. Rapoport (SBN 136941)
 BUSINESS LEGAL PARTNERS
 2 135 W. Green Street, Suite 100
 Pasadena, CA 91105
 3 Tel. 626-585-0155 Fax. 626-578-1827
grapoport@bizlegalpartners.com

4 Attorney for Defendants
 SKYLUX INC., INTERACTIVE INTELLIGENCE, INC.,
 5 and MUJEEB PUZHAKKARAILLATH

6 Constance R. Lindman (*pro hac vice*)
 OVERHAUSER & LINDMAN, LLC
 7 740 W. Green Meadows Dr., Ste. 300
 Greenfield, IN 46140
 8 Tel. 317-891-1500 Fax. 866-283-8549
crlindman@overhauser.com

9 Attorneys for Defendant
 10 INTERACTIVE INTELLIGENCE, INC.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SACRAMENTO DIVISION

13 MOHIT RANDHAWA aka HARPAL
 SINGH, and SHANNON CALLNET
 14 PVT LTD,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 SKYLUX INC., INTERACTIVE
 18 INTELLIGENCE, INC., MUJEEB
 PUZHAKKARAILLATH, SKYLUX
 19 TELELINK PVT LTD; and DOES 1
 20 through
 21 20, inclusive.

22 Defendants.

Case No. 2:09–CV–02304–WBS–KJN

Hon. William B. Shubb

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING
 DATE FOR PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION
 FOR LEAVE TO FILE FOURTH
 AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO
 NOTICE DEFENDANT
 INTERACTIVE’S MOTION TO
 DISMISS AND FOR SANCTIONS
 FOR THE SAME DATE**

23 WHEREAS:

24 1. On April 6, 2010, Plaintiffs Mohit Randhawa and Shannon Callnet
 25 Pvt Ltd. (“Shannon Callnet”) filed a Motion for Leave to File Fourth Amended

**STIPULATION AND PROPOSED [ORDER] TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE FOR PLAINTIFFS’
 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO NOTICE DEFENDANT
 INTERACTIVE’S MOTION TO DISMISS AND FOR SANCTIONS FOR THE SAME DATE**

1 Complaint (Docket No. 65) (the “Motion for Leave”). The hearing on this Motion
2 is set for May 24, 2010.

3 2. On April 26, 2010, Defendant Interactive Intelligence, Inc.
4 (“Interactive”) filed a Motion to Dismiss Claims by Plaintiff Shannon Callnet and
5 for Sanctions (Docket No. 69) (the “Motion to Dismiss”).

6 3. Interactive noticed the Motion to Dismiss for the same date as the
7 Motion for Leave because the issues presented in the Motion for Leave and the
8 Motion to Dismiss are related. Shannon Callnet seeks leave to file a Fourth
9 Amended Complaint that includes claims against Interactive. (Dkt 65 Ex 1)
10 Interactive contends that the proposed Fourth Amended Complaint does not
11 comply with this Court’s March 4 Order (Dkt 61), Shannon Callnet should not be
12 permitted to bring claims against Interactive in a Fourth Amended Complaint, and
13 Shannon Callnet’s claims against Interactive should be dismissed. Interactive
14 agrees that if Shannon Callnet files a cross-motion for sanctions against
15 Interactive, Shannon Callnet may notice such motion for June 7, 2010.

16 4. In order to avoid unnecessary expense and effort for both the Court
17 and the parties, the parties, through their respective counsel of record herein, agree
18 that it is in the interest of judicial economy to combine the hearing on the Motion
19 for Leave and the Motion to Dismiss because the issues presented are related.

20 NOW THEREFORE, the parties, through their counsel of record herein,
21 STIPULATE AND AGREE to the following:

22 Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave, now set for hearing on May 24, 2010, is
23 continued to June 7, 2010. The notice date for Interactive’s Motion to Dismiss is
24 changed from May 24, 2010 to June 7, 2010.
25

**STIPULATION AND PROPOSED [ORDER] TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE FOR PLAINTIFFS’
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO NOTICE DEFENDANT
INTERACTIVE’S MOTION TO DISMISS AND FOR SANCTIONS FOR THE SAME DATE**

1 DATED: April 28, 2010

OVERHAUSER & LINDMAN, LLC

2
3 /s/ Constance R. Lindman
4 CONSTANCE R. LINDMAN
5 Attorney for Defendant
6 INTERACTIVE INTELLIGENCE, INC

7 DATED: April 28, 2010

BUSINESS LEGAL PARTNERS

8 /s/ Gregg A. Rapoport
9 GREGG A. RAPOPORT
10 *[authorized signature]*
11 Attorney for Defendants
12 INTERACTIVE INTELLIGENCE, INC
13 SKYLUX, INC., MUJEEB
14 PUZHAKKARAILLATH and SKYLUX
15 TELELINK PVT LTD

16 DATED: April 28, 2010

THE LAW OFFICE OF JAY IAN
ABOUDI

17 /s/ Jay Ian Aboudi
18 *[authorized signature]*
19 JAY ABOUDI
20 Attorney for Plaintiffs
21 MOHIT RANDHAWA aka HARPAL
22 SINGH and SHANNON CALLNET PVT
23 LTD
24
25

**STIPULATION AND PROPOSED [ORDER] TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE FOR PLAINTIFFS’
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO NOTICE DEFENDANT
INTERACTIVE’S MOTION TO DISMISS AND FOR SANCTIONS FOR THE SAME DATE**

1 **ORDER**

2 Based on the stipulation entered by the parties, IT IS ORDERED THAT
3 Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave, now set for hearing on May 24, 2010, is continued to
4 June 7, 2010 at 2:00 p.m. and the notice date for Interactive's Motion to Dismiss is
5 changed from May 24, 2010 to June 7, 2010 at 2:00 p.m.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED: April 28, 2010

8 

9 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED [ORDER] TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE FOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO NOTICE DEFENDANT INTERACTIVE'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND FOR SANCTIONS FOR THE SAME DATE