1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	WILBUR LANN PITTMAN,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-09-2317 KJM P
12	vs.	
13	M. MARTEL,	ORDER AND
14	Defendant.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15		!
16	By an order filed September 11, 2009, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma	
17	pauperis affidavit within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a	
18	recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and	
19	plaintiff has not responded to the court's order.	
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign this	
21	matter to a district judge.	
22	IT IS HEREBY REC	OMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
23	prejudice.	
24	These findings and re	ecommendations are submitted to the United States District
25	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-	
26	one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
	1	

objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: December 17, 2009. U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE pitt2317.fifp