

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILBUR PITTMAN,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-2318 DAD P

vs.

M. MARTEL,

Respondent.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ /

By order filed October 26, 2009, petitioner’s petition for writ of habeas corpus was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended petition was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and petitioner has not filed an amended petition or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action;

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
2 Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: December 11, 2009.

6
7 
8 _____
9 DALE A. DROZD
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 DAD:lg
10 pitt2318.fta

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26