1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	WILBUR PITTMAN,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2343 KJM P
12	vs.
13	ROBERT FERNANDEZ, <u>ORDER AND</u>
14	Defendant. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By an order filed September 11, 2009, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma
17	pauperis affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days and was cautioned that
18	failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day
19	period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a
21	district judge to this case.
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
23	prejudice.
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
25	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-
26	one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
	1

1	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
2	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections
3	shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are
4	advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the
5	District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: January 6, 2010.
7	Annele
8	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	1 pitt2343.fifp
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I