1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DEAREL GIBSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2388 GEB DAD P
12	VS.
13	R.K. WONG, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On September 17, 2010, defendants filed request to conduct plaintiff's deposition
17	via video-conference. Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants'
18	request for video-conferencing (Doc. No. 34) is granted. ¹
19	DATED: September 21, 2010.
20	20020
21	Dale A. Droget
22	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23	DAD:sj gibs2388.mot.video
24	
25	$\frac{1}{1}$ Nothing in this order shall be interpreted as requiring the institution in which plaintiff is
26	¹ Nothing in this order shall be interpreted as requiring the institution in which plaintiff is housed to accommodate counsel in the event that video-conferencing equipment it is not already available at the institution.