1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DEAREL GIBSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2388 DAD P
12	VS.
13	R.K. WONG, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On February 8, 2011, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment pursuant
17	to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff has not opposed the motion. ¹
18	Local Rule 230(1) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written
19	opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to
20	the granting of the motion" On October 26, 2009, plaintiff was advised of the requirements
21	for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a
22	waiver of opposition to the motion.
23	/////
24	
25	¹ If plaintiff no longer wishes to proceed with this matter, he should file a request to dismiss this action without projudice pursuant to Pule $41(a)$ of the Federal Pules of Civil
26	dismiss this action without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be
 grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the
 inherent power of the Court." In the order filed October 26, 2009, plaintiff was advised that
 failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be
 dismissed.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within twenty-one days
of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, to defendants' motion for
summary judgment. Failure to file an opposition will be deemed as a statement of nonopposition and shall result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant Federal
Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

11 DATED: March 9, 2011.

DAD:12 gibs2388.46sj

le A. Daget

DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE