1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MARGARET FULLERTON ROMANOV HENDERSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2401 FCD EFB PS
12	VS.
13 14	THE GETTY AND LUK OIL AMERIKA, et al.,
15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
16	/
17	On September 10, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
18	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the
19	findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. No objections were filed. ¹
20	Accordingly, the court presumes any findings of fact are correct. See Orland v.
21	United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1999). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
22	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.
23	1983).
24	///
25	¹ On October 5, 2000, plaintiff did file some exhibits, which were considered by the court
26	¹ On October 5, 2009, plaintiff did file some exhibits, which were considered by the court. Dckt. No. 5.

1

1	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
2	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.
3	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:
4	1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed September 10, 2009, are
5	ADOPTED;
6	2. This case is REMANDED to the Superior Court of the State of California in
7	and for the County of Sacramento; and,
8	3. The Clerk is directed to CLOSE the case.
9	DATED: October 29, 2009.
10	A. S.C. Kom
11	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
23 26	
20	
	2

I