/////

26

Doc. 25

Mr. Matthews would not benefit from a clavicle brace at this point in his recovery and rehabilitation. Mr. Matthews has improved his strength and range of motion through the exercises provided by the physiotherapist, and a clavicle brace at this point in his recovery would be contraindicated as it would impede Mr. Matthews' range of motion, and interfere with his physical therapy.

Docket 23-2, ¶ 3.

This court's Order and Findings and Recommendations filed November 17, 2009, recommended that plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction be granted in part and denied in part, "pending further receipt" of the information described above. This information is consistent with the original recommendation of this court, which awaits adoption by the district judge. No further preliminary relief is warranted at this time.

DATED: December 22, 2009

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE