1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	JOSEPH B. MATTHEWS,
12	Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-2415 GEB KJN P
13	VS.
14	LAHEY, et al.,
15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
16	/
17	On June 11, 2010, plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the magistrate
18	judge's order filed May 21, 2010 denying plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel.
19	Plaintiff's request was denied as untimely. (Dkt. No. 42.) On July 21, 2010, plaintiff filed a
20	request to deem the motion for reconsideration timely filed in light of <u>Houston v. Lack</u> , 487 U.S.
21	266 (1988), and the addition of three days for mailing, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d). Good
22	cause appearing, plaintiff's July 21, 2010 request will be granted. The July 1, 2010 order will be
23	vacated, and the court will reconsider the May 21, 2010 order.
24	Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld
25	unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that
26	it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
	1

-	
1	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. Plaintiff's July 21, 2010 request is granted. (Dkt. No. 46.)
3	2. The July 1, 2010 order is vacated. (Dkt. No. 42.)
4	3. Upon reconsideration, the order of the magistrate judge filed May 21, 2010, is
5	affirmed. (Dkt. No. 41.)
6	Dated: August 6, 2010
7	ANS DOM
8	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2