

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LIONEL WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-2416 LKK DAD P

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

Plaintiff, a former state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff will be ordered to file a new in forma pauperis affidavit for a non-prisoner or paid the required filing fee. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a), 1915(a). Plaintiff will be provided the opportunity either to submit the appropriate affidavit in support of a request to proceed in forma pauperis or to submit the appropriate filing fee.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff’s August 27, 2009 application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) is denied without prejudice;

2. Plaintiff shall submit, within thirty days from the date of this order, an affidavit in support of his request to proceed in forma pauperis by a non-prisoner on the form provided by

////

1 the Clerk of Court, or the appropriate filing fee; plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will
2 result in the dismissal of this action; and

3 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a new Application to Proceed
4 In Forma Pauperis By a Non-Prisoner.

5 DATED: April 12, 2010.

6
7 
8 _____
9 DALE A. DROZD
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 DAD:4
10 will2416.3a

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26