(PC) Penn v. McDonald et al		
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	MARLIN PENN,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:09-cv-2443 JAM JFM (PC)
12	vs.	
13	WARDEN MCDONALD, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15	/	
16	Plaintiff has filed a document entitled "request for production of documents."	
17	Plaintiff is informed that court permission is not necessary for discovery requests and that neither	
18	discovery requests served on an opposing party nor that party's responses should be filed until	
19	such time as a party becomes dissatisfied with a response and seeks relief from the court	
20	pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Discovery requests between the parties shall	
21	not be filed with the court unless, and until, they are at issue.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's April 7, 2010 request for	
23	production of documents will be placed in the court file and disregarded. Plaintiff is cautioned	
24	that further filing of discovery requests or responses, except as required by rule of court, may	
25	/////	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 41

result in an order of sanctions, including, but not limited to, a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

DATED: April 15, 2010.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/mp014.penn2443.411

/mp014.penn2443.411