1

2

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA JOSE MANUEL PEREZ, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV-S-09-2474 FCD KJN (TEMP) P 12 VS. 13 JAMES WALKER, 14 Respondent. **ORDER** 15 Petitioner is a California prisoner proceeding without counsel with a petition for 16

writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent has filed a motion to dismiss.

After reviewing the court's file the court has ascertained petitioner challenges two separate prison disciplinary proceedings: 2005 proceedings concerning a charge of sexual harassment; and 2007 proceedings concerning possession of alcohol. However, petitioner may only challenge one disciplinary proceeding per habeas action. See Rule 2(e), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases (habeas petitioner may challenge judgment of only one state court per habeas petition); Crane v. McDonald, No. CIV-S-09-1511 DAD P, 2010 WL 3633616, at *3 (E.D. Cal., Nov. 18, 2010) (habeas petitioner may not challenge two parole proceedings in same action); Melchhionne v. Tilton, No. 1:08-cv-00116 OWW DLB HC, 2008 WL 608385, at *2 (E.D. Cal.

March 4, 2008) (habeas petitioner must file three different actions to challenge prison

disciplinary proceedings, denial of parole and conviction).

In light of the foregoing, petitioner's original habeas petition will be dismissed. Petitioner will be granted leave to submit an amended petition in which petitioner challenges either the 2005 or 2007 prison disciplinary proceedings addressed in his original petition, but not both. If petitioner wishes to challenge other disciplinary proceedings, he must initiate a separate action. Failure to file an amended petition which complies with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Respondent's pending motion to dismiss will be denied as moot. Respondent need take not any further action until directed by the court. Upon receipt of petitioner's amended petition, the court will review it as is required under Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 cases.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus is dismissed;
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner the court's formapplication for writ of habeas corpus by state prisoners.
- 3. Petitioner is granted thirty days within which to file an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus on the form provided by the Clerk of the Court. In the amended petition petitioner may challenge either the 2005 or 2007 prison disciplinary proceedings addressed in his original petition, but not both. Failure to file an amended petition which complies with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.
- 4. Respondent's motion to dismiss (#7) is denied as moot and respondent need take no further action until directed by the court.

DATED: January 19, 2011

KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

pere2474.157(1)