(HC) Muhammad v. Sisto			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SHAKA SENEGAL MUHAMMAD,		
11			
12	vs.		
13	WARDEN D.K. SISTO, et al.,		
14	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>		
15			
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for a writ	of habeas	
17	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed		
18	violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondents will be directed to file a response to		
19	petitioner's amended habeas petition, filed December 31, 2009.		
20	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
21	1. Respondents are directed to file a response to petitioner's amended habeas		
22	petition, filed December 31, 2009, within sixty days from the date of this order. See Rule 4, Fed.		
23	R. Governing § 2254 Cases. An answer shall be accompanied by all transcripts and other		
24	documents relevant to the issues presented in the petition. See Rule 5, Fed. R. Governing § 2254		
25	Cases;		
26	6 /////		
	1		

Doc. 9

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

26

- 3. If the response to the habeas petition is an answer, petitioner's reply, if any, shall be filed and served within thirty days after service of the answer;
- 4. If the response to the habeas petition is a motion, petitioner's opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion shall be filed and served within thirty days after service of the motion, and respondents' reply, if any, shall be filed and served within fifteen days thereafter; and
- 5. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order together with a copy of the petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 on Michael Patrick Farrell, Senior Assistant Attorney General.

DATED: January 6, 2010.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/001; muha2503.100