1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	CURLEY JOHN BROUSSARD, JR.,
10	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2506 WBS DAD P
11	vs.
12	LEA ANN CHRONES, et al.,
13	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
14	/
15	On May 14, 2010, plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the magistrate
16	judge's order filed April 29, 2010, denying plaintiff's motions for appointment of counsel and
17	permitting plaintiff to file an amended complaint consistent with the order. Pursuant to E.D.
18	Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or
19	contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the
20	magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
21	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of
22	the magistrate judge filed April 29, 2010 (Doc. No. 14), is affirmed.
23	DATED: May 20, 2010
24	million of shibt
25	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	