1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	TROY ANDERSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2539 GGH P
12	VS.
13	
14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
15	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
16	/
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with this civil
18	rights action seeking relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 22, 2010, defendant
19	filed a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. Plaintiff's request for an
20	extension to file an opposition was granted and he had until November 18, 2010, to file an
21	opposition. Plaintiff submitted filings to the court on November 8, 2010, and November 18,
22	2010, but has not filed an opposition to the motion to dismiss.
23	Plaintiff shall show cause within fourteen days of this order being served why this
24	case should not be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to file an opposition or on the merits of
25	defendant's motion.
26	
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff shall show cause within
2	fourteen days of this order being served why this case should not be dismissed for plaintiff's
3	failure to file an opposition or on the merits of defendant's motion.
4	DATED: December 8, 2010
5	/s/Gragory G. Hallows
6	/s/Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	GGH: AB
8	ande2539.osc
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I

I