

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

GLYNN WARD,

No. CIV S-09-2542-CMK-P

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

OROMDE, et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to the written consent of all parties, this case is before the undersigned as the presiding judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

On January 14, 2011, defendants filed a motion for summary judgement, which was served on plaintiff the same day. On February 3, 2011, the court received notice from plaintiff that he did not receive a complete copy of defendants' motion. Accordingly, defendants reserved the motion and counsel confirmed with plaintiff that he had received a complete copy on February 7, 2011.

///

1 As plaintiff had not received a complete copy of the motion for summary
2 judgment until February 7, 2011, he will be provided additional time in which to file his
3 opposition. Therefore, plaintiff may file a response to the motion for summary judgment within
4 30 days of the date of this order.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 DATED: February 10, 2011

8 
9 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26