1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CLIFFORD LOCKLEAR,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-2594 JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	DR. SCHWINER, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	Plaintiff has filed a second motion for appointment of counsel. ¹ The United States
17	Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent
18	indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298
19	(1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of
20	counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir.
21	1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the
22	court still does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's second motion for the
23	appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.
24	/////
25	Disintiffe first motion was filed Sectorshap 16 2000 and deviation and a filed O (1 - 15
26	¹ Plaintiff's first motion was filed September 16, 2009 and denied by order filed October 15, 2009.

1

1	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's
2	December 31, 2009 motion for the appointment of counsel is denied.
3	DATED: February 1, 2010.
4	
т 5	the I Month
6	UNITED STATÉS MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
, 8	12/mp lock2594.31(2)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2