(HC) Vice v.	Walker
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	HERBERT C. VICE,
10	Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-2647 EFB P
11	vs.
12	JAMES WALKER, ORDER AND
13	Respondent. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	/
15	Petitioner, a state prisoner without counsel, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas
16	corpus. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On February 25, 2010, the court ordered petitioner to, within 30
17	days, demonstrate either that the petition is not second or successive or submit evidence that the
18	appellate court has authorized this court to consider the petition. The court warned petitioner
19	that failure to comply with the order would result in a recommendation that this action be
20	dismissed upon the ground that petitioner has filed a successive petition without authorization
21	from the appellate court. The 30 days have passed and petitioner has not responded to the
22	court's order.
23	As explained in the February 25, 2010 order, the instant petition is second or successive
24	because petitioner challenges the same judgment now that he previously challenged and which
25	
26	

Doc. 7

25

26

was adjudicated on the merits. See Vice v. Pliler, No. Civ. S-04-0044 GEB CMK. Since petitioner has not demonstrated that the appellate court has authorized this court to consider a second or successive petition, this action must be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. See Burton v. Stewart, 549 U.S. 147 (2007); Cooper v. Calderon, 274 F.3d 1270, 1274 (9th Cir. 2001) (per curiam).

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court randomly assign a United States District Judge to this case.

Further, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v*. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: April 12, 2010.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The court may take judicial notice of court records. See MGIC Indem. Co. v. Weisman, 803 F.2d 500, 505 (9th Cir. 1986); United States v. Wilson, 631 F.2d 118, 119 (9th Cir. 1980).