1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	COLLEEN M. SCHENKEL, No. CIV S-09-2661-CMK
9	Plaintiff,
10	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
11	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,
12	Defendant.
13	/
14	
15	Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action for judicial
16	review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). On
17	November 19, 2009, and again on July 23, 2010, the court directed plaintiff to show cause why
18	the action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules
19	and orders. Plaintiff adequately responded to both orders to show cause, which are hereby
20	discharged.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	
23	DATED: October 22, 2010
24	CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	CIVILD SITTLE WINGSTRATE JUDGE
26	