

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES E. JONES,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-2664 GGH P

vs.

KATHY DICKINSON,

Respondent.

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has paid the filing fee. Petitioner challenges the California Board of Parole Hearings (BPH) decision finding petitioner unsuitable for parole.

Since petitioner may be entitled to the requested relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondent will be directed to file a response to petitioner’s application.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Respondent is directed to file a response to petitioner’s application within sixty days from the date of this order. See Rule 4, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. An answer shall be accompanied by any and all transcripts or other documents relevant to the determination of the

