-DAD Hill v.	Hill-Love	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	GAVIN HILL,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-09-2713 KJM-DAD
12	VS.	
13	KRISTAN HILL-LOVE,	
14	Defendant.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	This matter is before the court on Annette E. Ferrante's notice of disassociation of	
17	counsel filed on April 2, 2011. (ECF 37.) Ms. Ferrante asserts that she has been disassociated	
18	from Robert N. Kitay and the Law Office of Robert N. Kitay, PC as counsel for plaintiff in the	
19	above-captioned action since August 30, 2009. Defendant in the above-captioned action has	
20	filed an objection to this notice, contending that Ms. Ferrante's notice ignores Local Rule 182(g)	
21	and California Rule of Professional Conduct 3-700(C)(5). (ECF 38.)	
22	The court hereby ORDERS Ms. Ferrante, within fourteen days of the entry of this	
23	order, to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed against her for failing to notice the	
24		
25		
26	/////	

Doc. 44

court of her disassociation from this case until more than one year had passed since her alleged disassociation and to comply with Local Rule 182 or California Rule of Professional Conduct 3-700(C)(5).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 16, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE