1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	GAVIN HILL,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2713 KJM-DAD
12	VS.
13	KRISTAN HILL-LOVE,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	The court issued an order to show cause on May 18, 2011, due to attorney
17	Annette E. Ferrante's late notice of disassociation of counsel. (ECF 44.) On May 31, 2011, Ms.
18	Ferrante responded to the order to show cause. <sup>1</sup> (ECF 50.) The court notes that Ms. Ferrante
19	was served with two orders in February 2010, which she appears to have disregarded; it was only
20	after being served with a flurry of filings and orders in 2011 that she filed her notice of
21	disassociation. That said, given Ms. Ferrante's representation regarding her role as an associate
22	to plaintiff's lead counsel Mr. Kitay and her belief, however mistaken, that she did not need to
23	
24	<sup>1</sup> The order to show cause specifically ordered Ms. Ferrante to respond; therefore, the
25	court does not consider Robert Kitay's response. (ECF 47.) Mr. Springfield's objections to Ms. Ferrante's declaration are overruled, with the exception of objections that can be construed as
26	directed to the last sentence of paragraph 3, and the words "or Mr. Kitay" in paragraph 6, which objections are sustained. (ECF 51.)

Dockets.Justia.com

file a motion to withdraw with the court, as well as the lack of any indication that Ms. Ferrante
has suffered any prior discipline in connection with her license to practice law, the court is
satisfied with Ms. Ferrante's response. Accordingly, the May 18 order to show cause is hereby
DISCHARGED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 1, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE