1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MICHAEL BAKER,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2: 09-cv-2757 MCE KJN P
12	vs.
13	PEREZ, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a civil rights action
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is plaintiff's November 2, 2012 motion
18	to file an amended complaint. For the following reasons, this motion is denied.
19	Plaintiff seeks leave to amend so that he may "clarify issues" based on evidence
20	obtained at the close of discovery. Plaintiff does not describe his proposed amendments.
21	Plaintiff also did not file a proposed amended complaint.
22	Because plaintiff did not file a proposed amended complaint, the court is unable
23	to evaluate his motion for leave to amend. On this ground, his motion to amend is denied.
24	The undersigned also observes that Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2)
25 26	requires that the court "freely give leave" to amend "when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P.
26	15(a)(2). "Five factors are taken into account to assess the propriety of a motion for leave to

1

amend: bad faith, undue delay, prejudice to the opposing party, futility of amendment, and
 whether the plaintiff has previously amended the complaint." <u>Johnson v. Buckley</u>, 356 F.3d
 1067, 1077 (9th Cir. 2004).

In the instant case, discovery has long since closed. On October 23, 2012, the
court vacated defendants' summary judgment motion and ordered defendants to file a
comprehensive renewed summary judgment motion within thirty days. Allowing plaintiff to file
an amended complaint at this late stage of the litigation would prejudice defendants and the
court. Plaintiff has also not demonstrated good cause as to why he did not bring his motion to
amend sooner. For these reasons, the court would not look favorably on a renewed motion to
amend accompanied by a proposed amended complaint.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for leave to file
an amended complaint (Dkt. No. 119) is denied.

2

13 DATED: November 14, 2012

KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25

26

14

15

16

17

18

bak2757.ame